Date :	15.05.2018
Organizers:	Ministry of Foreign Affairs of Japan / Japan Federation of Bar Association

Time	Presentation of the speakers
00 :06 :00	*Japanese Embassy in France
00 :08 :35	*Japan Federation of Bar Asso
	*Japan Federation of Bar Asso
00 :13 :18	Vice-President : Mr. Toshiteru
00 :13 :32	*MOFA - Hague Convention D
00 :13 :43	*Law Firm Hashimoto & Partn
01 :38 :11	*Law Firm Hashimoto & Partn

01 :38 :11 *Law Firm Hashimoto & Partners : Lawyer Ms. Yuki IWAMURA

Translator's comments are in red.

Time	Speaker	Content
		Explanation that normally, in the case of wrongful removal, it is not possible to divorce in Japan, unless the French parent agrees, and the mediation sessions are "scheduled for discussion".
		(In other words, there is support from the government to avoid a judgment of the convention,
00 :19 :00	Lawyer Toshiteru SHIBAIKE	and to be able to "close the case".)
		In Japan the Hague Convention is simply a civil and not a criminal case, so the local police do
00 :23 :50	Lawyer Toshiteru SHIBAIKE	not intervene, no arrest.
		Explanation that the Hague does not intervene if the couple lives in Japan.
		(Which is technically correct, but who also insinuates that if the Japanese parent can convince
		the French parent to move to Japan, we can avoid the Hague. There is an Italian case in which
00 :24 :10	Lawyer Toshiteru SHIBAIKE	the Japanese wife kidnapped the children 2 months after moving to Japan).
		With a return order - just need to return the child to France - and not return the child to the
		Father. (Which is technically correct, should the French father already get a return order for the
00 :25 :24	Lawyer Toshiteru SHIBAIKE	child.)
		Consult a French lawyer before returning is almost useless, because French lawyers know only
		the French laws, and the Hague will be treated in Japan, and it is the Japanese court which will
		judge the case. (The convention is supposed to be the same, however, it is an admission that
		the judgments are not the same in Japan, this being possible thanks to the Japanese
00 :26 :25	Lawyer Toshiteru SHIBAIKE	implementation law in The Hague.)
		Detailed explanation of the points taken into account by the Japanese courts - with regard to
		the cases already treated - in order to have Domestic Violence taken into account for a non-
		return.
		Explaining the need for domestic violence had taken place in front of children. (So no testimony
00 :34 :30	Lawyer Toshiteru SHIBAIKE	possible if the child is 6 months old)
		Explanation that in France because there are laws protecting battered women, we need
		evidence such as a protection order, several police interventions, the possibility of proving that
		no place was available in the shelters, then there, the court will take into account the situation
00 :35 :30	Lawyer Toshiteru SHIBAIKE	(for a non-return judgement)
		Explanation of the necessary evidence, such as a history of police ("main courante")
00 :36 :14	Lawyer Toshiteru SHIBAIKE	consultations, indicating that Hashimoto Partners' lawyers will provide more information.
		Explanation on the arguments to be presented in order to obtain a non-return, in observance of
		the current judgments in Japan: if there is a warrant of arrest against me in France, I will be
		arrested if I return, I can not not live in France because I have no help and no money, I will die if
		I go back (suicide) (These arguments are not supposed to be taken into account by the
00 :39:40	Lawyer Toshiteru SHIBAIKE	Convention)
		If nothing applies to my case, do I have to return the child? Even if my child does not want to go
00 :40:23	Lawyer Toshiteru SHIBAIKE	back?

	- 1	
		There is an article allowing you to not return if the child does not want to (Article 28. 5).
		The opinion of the child is taken into consideration according to his maturity, he must say by
		himself that he does not want to return to France. If he says that he does not want to see his
		father, it will not be taken into account, he must say that he does not want to return to France,
		if he says he loves his father but that there is bullying at school, there's racism, it will pass. If he
		says he does not want to see his father but has a lot of friends in France, it will not happen. (The
		vice president of the "Working Group Related to Hague Convention" explains what to say to
		children - this is parental alienation.)
		WARNING: This is article 28, paragraph 5 of the Emplementation law of the Hague
		Convention in Japan and not article 28 of the Convention.
		Full text available via
00 :40:50	Lawyer Toshiteru SHIBAIKE	http://www.japaneselawtranslation.go.jp/law/detail/?printID=&id=2159&re=01&vm=02
		There is no law regarding the age of the child and his opinion, but in Japan, under 6 years the
		opinion is not taken into account, between 6 and 10 it depends on its maturity, after 10 years
		his opinion will be taken into account. There are many cases where the opinion of 8 year old
00 :42:00	Lawyer Toshiteru SHIBAIKE	children is taken into account.
		If all the conditions cannot apply, am I obliged to return the child under the Hague? No, this is
		not the case.
		Under the Hague, a mediation is opened, and during the discussion the parents examine the
		possibilities, if the mother returns to France, can she live there, if the children stay in Japan can
		the father come and see them. There are many cases where children have become accustomed
00 :42:45	Lawyer Toshiteru SHIBAIKE	to Japan, parents decide to leave them in Japan. This is a specificity of Japanese courts.
00 :42:45		
00:44:00	Lawyer Toshiteru SHIBAIKE	If you have no condition to justify a non-return, do not give up and be persistent. Even if the execution of the judgment is not forced, it does not mean that one can avoid the
00 :44:28	Lowever Techitery CLUDAIKE	
00 :47:48	Lawyer Toshiteru SHIBAIKE MOFA – Mrs MASTUDA	return, although there is this type of explanation. We must respect the judgement
00:47:48	MOFA - MIS MASTODA	The Hague is only a civil matter. (Understand that the police do not intervene.)
		Before the trial begins, there is a mediation organized through a private partner ADR (ADR:
00.50.22		Alternative Dispute Resolution) if both parents agree. If the LBP does not do anything more,
00 :58:33	MOFA – Mrs MASTUDA	there is no trial. In some countries, the central authority starts the trial, but in Japan, it must be the LBP that
		starts it. We offer him assistance in this process: introduction of lawyer - it takes about 2 weeks,
		we introduce him 3.
00 :59:45		For those LBPs that do not have the necessary funds, we present Houterasu (Japan Legal
00:59:45	MOFA – Mrs MASTUDA MOFA – Mrs MASTUDA	Support Center) - which allows you to borrow legal fees. Sometimes, in parallel with the trial, a procedure for visits can be started.
01:01:18	MOFA – MIS MASTUDA	
01.10.20		We will not forbid you to return to Japan, but if you return, we recommend to return after
01 :10:20	MOFA – Mrs MASTUDA	preparing your defense, the methods will be explained by Hashimoto Partners.
		The embassy archives the consultations it has received and can provide proof of your
		consultations, these documents are often used during the proceedings. Consult the embassy at
04 42 05		any costs. (Japanese consulates in France, however, refuse to reply in writing to French
01 :12:05	MOFA – Mrs MASTUDA	nationals who contact them in French or in Japanese)
		If the case is recognized in the framework of the Hague Convention, for you too, there will be
01.12.22		legal aid, introduction of laywer, translation, as well as help for legal fees, although this last
01 :12:33	MOFA – Mrs MASTUDA	point does not depend on the MOFA.
		The figures for France, in 4 years, the number of removal to Japan:
		6 (Including 1 case of Japanese couple, and a case of a non French and a Japanese person) and 5
		of visit request. Conversely, there were 3 cases of travel to France (Figures are not clear, and
		the person from MOFA corrects them during his explanation. Moreover, as explained by Lawyer
		Toshiteru SHIBAIKE at the very beginning, some cases are classified before the trial, and are
		therefore not included in these figures. The number of Hague cases is thot equivalent to the
01 :13:08	MOFA – Mrs MASTUDA	number of abductions. In addition, local kidnappings - inside Japan - are not counted.)
01.13.00		What is happening in cases of removal to Japan? 74 cases were approved as part of the
		convention, and 58 cases were classified. There were 32 return orders, 26 no return orders.
		For France, of the 6 cases, there has been 2 return orders, 2 non-return orders, and 2 cases are
01 :14 :38		still active.
01.14.38	MOFA – Mrs MASTUDA	וו מכוויד.

nore constraining than in he French parent of his f the child, the French explaining that you be given, after this a Japan.) live with the child has
f the child, the French explaining that you be given, after this Japan.)
explaining that you be given, after this Japan.)
explaining that you be given, after this Japan.)
be given, after this Japan.)
be given, after this Japan.)
Japan.)
inve with the tillu lids
into account; we will
into account, we will
ecord and get proof. It
ou have made a "Main
the Hague. (Warning:
ain Courante" is a
cument in the same
es very powerfull in
you do not have enough
e 2012. It will also be
is the CCAS (Communal
nt, has problems with
the government, the
help you with daily life.
he shelter.
from third parties, or
get at your general
ification of custody, it is
not be able to do any
children are not under
quest a translator when
ether there is an arrest
•